### Better IP Routing

Brussels, January 14th, 2005 Iljitsch van Beijnum



### IPv6

- Routing IPv6: same as v4, larger addresses
- Intra-AS: RIPng, OSPFv3, IS-IS
- Multiprotocol extensions for BGP
  - Carry IPv6 routing information over IPv4 or IPv6 TCP sessions

### Address Policies

- Very different between v4 and v6!
- Policy: conservation, registration, routability
- In v4 <u>conservation</u>: give out small blocks
- In v6 <u>routability</u>: give out large blocks, no real provider independent space (so far)
- In v6 ISPs /32 or bigger, <u>all</u> endusers /48

# Global Routing Tables

- IPv4:
  - 18667 active ASes
  - 149521 prefixes, 8 per AS
- IPv6:
  - 513 active ASes (2.7%)
  - 705 prefixes, I.4 per AS (0.5%)

### IPv6 Table Explosion?

- IANA and RIRs say: ok to filter at /32
- (but some micro allocations: root DNS etc)
- Some are concerned about unique site locals showing up in global routing table
- Can we avoid or limit Pl?
- Can't accept /48s in v6 like /24s in v4: everyone qualifies

## IPv6 Developments

- Active work on host-based multihoming (invisible in interdomain routing)
- Nevertheless pressure for provider independent addressing
- Likely that RIRs will be getting VERY large blocks (/12 or even /6)

# **BGP Security**

- IETF RPSEC wg in requirements phase
- S-BGP (Secure BGP) proposed by BBN
- soBGP (secure origin BGP) proposed by Cisco
- Relatively easy to secure prefix/AS mapping
- Unwanted propagation of legitimate announcement much harder to fix

#### S-BGP

- Draft by BBN around for some time now, proof-of-concept implementation available
- Sign every update (including next hop AS, so no more peer group optimization)
- Carry authentication data in path attribute
- Heavy: 4 x the memory, signature check for every AS in every path, delays startup

#### soBGP

- Newer than S-BGP, no code AFAIK
- Mostly tie prefix to source AS
- But can be extended with additional checks
- Authentication data in new BGP message
- Architecture allows offloading to special purpose box, not as heavy as S-BGP

# Other BGP Security

- TCP MD5 option more widely used, but not great: too much CPU, kernel hacks, open to crypto DoS
- IPsec not BGP-specific and much better
- Can run BGP over loopbacks to avoid management plane exposure
- Separate data/management contrary to IP view of the world, new risks, do it anyway?

### General BGP Problems

- AS path length only real end-to-end metric, AS hierarchy too flat to be very useful
- "Count to infinity" and flap amplification
- Can only do hop-by-hop
- Doesn't detect end-to-end reachability problems (black holes)
- Global table size: not enough aggregation

#### Non-Problems

- Work per-prefix rather than per-AS: no longer an issue in IPv6?
- iBGP scalability and interaction with IGPs?
- AS# depletion: 32 bit AS in IETF pipelines (but seems to be staying there...)

#### Research

- Do we want to keep BGP or rebuild from scratch?
- Better metrics (delay, bandwidth?)
- Introduce link-state mechanisms
- Support routing on more than just destination address?
- Automatic aggregation (geography...)

#### Last Minute

- More dynamic environment for BGP because of on-demand L2 or L1 paths
- Not try to reserve or discover bandwidth: just blast packets at full speed
  - (need to prioritize "blast" and "regular" differently of course)

### Good Points BGP

- Leverages proven transport, easy to adopt IPsec
- Distributed computation
- Policy support

- References:
  - http://www.irtf.org/charters/routing.html
  - draft-irtf-routing-history
  - RFC 3569
  - IETF multi6 and RPSEC wgs

iljitsch@muada.com

http://www.bgpexpert.com/